

Paper & Paperboard Testing Program

Summary Report #4361 - May 2025

Introduction to the Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program Explanation of Tables and Definitions of Terms

<u>Analysis</u>	Analysis Name

- 3101 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers
- 3111 Bursting Strength Printing Papers
- 3113 Tearing Strength Printing Papers
- 3115 Tensile Breaking Strength Printing Papers
- 3116 Tensile Energy Absorption Printing Papers
- 3117 Elongation to Break Printing Papers
- 3121 Air Resistance Gurley Oil Type
- 3123 Porosity Sheffield Type Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice
- 3131 Roughness Print Surf Method 2.5 to 6.0 Microns
- 3133 Roughness Sheffield Type
- 3135 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area)
- 3141 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) Fine Papers
- 3143 Opacity (Paper Backing) Fine Papers and Newsprint
- 3145 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples
- 3146 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness
- 3201 Bending Resistance, Taber Type 0 to 10 Units
- 3203 Bending Resistance, Taber Type 10 to 100 Taber Units
- 3205 Bending Resistance, Taber Type 50 to 500 Taber Units Recycled Paperboard
- 3207 Z-Direction Tensile, Recycled Paperboard
- 3209 Z-Direction Tensile
- 3211 Internal Bond Strength Modified Scott Mechanics
- 3213 Internal Bond Strength Scott Bond Models

The CTS Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Program

In 1969, the National Bureau of Standards (now designated the National Institute for Standards and Technology) and the Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry (TAPPI) developed an interlaboratory program for paper and paperboard testing. Since 1971, Collaborative Testing Services has operated the Collaborative Reference Program for Paper and Paperboard. With hundreds of organizations from around the world participating in these tests, this program has become one of the largest of its kind. The program allows laboratories to compare the performance of their testing with that of other participating laboratories, and provides a realistic picture of the state of paper testing.

About CTS

Founded in 1971, Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. (CTS) is a privately - owned company that specializes in interlaboratory tests for a variety of industries including color, rubber, plastics, fasteners and metals, containerboard, paper, agriculture, hemp, and wine, as well as proficiency tests for forensic laboratories. All of the tests are designed to assist organizations in achieving and maintaining quality assurance objectives. Labs from the U.S., as well as more than 100 countries, currently participate in the CTS programs.

If there are any questions on the report or testing program, please contact:

Collaborative Testing Services, Inc. 21331 Gentry Drive Sterling, Virginia 20166 USA +1-571-434-1925 FAX #: +1-571-434-1937 paper@cts-interlab.com

Office Hours: 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. ET

Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 1 of 2)

WebCode	Assigned laboratory identification number (temporary) used to ensure lab confidentiality while permitting a lab to locate its data in the Paper Report published on the CTS Website. The WebCode for each analysis can be found on the datasheets and in the Performance Analysis Report mailed to each participant.
Lab Mean	The average of the values obtained for each sample by the participant.
Grand Mean	The average of the LAB MEANS for all included participants. Laboratories flagged with an X or an M (see DATA FLAG column) are excluded from the GRAND MEAN.
Difference from Grand Mean	The difference of the LAB MEAN from the GRAND MEAN.
Between-Lab Standard Deviation	An indication of the precision of measurement between the laboratories. The greater the spread of the LAB MEANS about the GRAND MEAN, the larger the BETWEEN-LAB STANDARD DEVIATION (and vice versa).
Comparative Performance Value	An indication of how well a laboratory's results agree with the other participants. The CPV is a ratio indicating the number of standard deviations from the GRAND MEAN. The closer a laboratory's COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE VALUE is to zero, the more consistent its results are with the other participants' data (and vice versa). The critical value for each CPV will vary depending on the number of labs participating in a test.
Inst Code	A code indicating the manufacturer of the instrument used to perform the test (see separate INSTRUMENT CODE LIST for each test section), if instruments are tracked.
Data Flag	DATA FLAGS are assigned based on the simultaneous analysis of both samples tested. Refer to the following chart for an explanation of each symbol:

DATA <u>FLAG</u>	STATISTICALLY <u>INCLUDED/EXCLUDED</u>	ACTION REQUIRED
*	INCLUDED	CAUTION - review testing procedure and monitor future results. Results fall outside 95% ellipse but within a 99% ellipse that is calculated but not drawn.
X	EXCLUDED	STOP - immediate review of data and/or testing procedure is required. Results fall outside the 99% ellipse. See specific notes following each table for more information on why the data is excluded.
Μ	EXCLUDED	PROCEED - lab was unable to report data for at least one sample.

Key for Web Summary Reports (Page 2 of 2)

Graph - For each laboratory, the LAB MEAN for the first sample (x-axis) is plotted against the LAB MEAN for the second sample (y-axis) with each point representing a laboratory. The horizontal and vertical cross-hairs are the GRAND MEANS for each sample. When 20 or more laboratories are in the statistics, an ellipse is also drawn so that 95% of the time a randomly selected laboratory will be included inside the ellipse. Plotted data flags are explained on the previous page.

Common Problems Highlighted in Footnotes

1. *Extreme data* - The laboratory's results for one or both samples are so inconsistent with those of the other participants that the lab mean(s) fall outside the plot. The participant is advised to immediately review his data and/or testing procedure.

2. **Systematic bias** - The laboratory's results are either consistently high or low for both samples when compared to the other participants (the plotted point falls near the top or bottom of the ellipse). This indicates that the participant is performing the test with a constant bias. Causes of systematic errors include improper calibration, the particular make/model of equipment or a modification to the testing procedure.

3. **Inconsistency in testing between samples/sample sets** - The laboratory's results indicate that there are differences in the way the two samples tested (the plotted point falls to the side of the ellipse). This type of error may be attributed to the analyst deviating from the procedure when testing one of the samples or a material interaction occurrence with the instrument or room conditions. The inconsistency is reflected in the CPVs for the two samples, such as a +1.5 CPV for sample A and a -2.2 CPV for sample B. CTS also will specify if the laboratory's data for one sample are high/low compared to the other participants. If this inconsistency is slight, the lab's plotted point will be an * that falls on the edge of the ellipse.

4. *Inconsistency in testing within a sample* - The laboratory's within-lab standard deviation for a specified sample is high when compared to the other participants, often causing the lab's plotted point to fall outside of the ellipse.

Labs flagged with an * are not typically included in the footnotes of a data table. These labs may locate their position in the control ellipse and use the definitions above to help identify the type of testing error. An * should serve as a caution flag, a "yellow light", to a lab. If this error is repeated in future rounds, a lab may need to stop and review its testing procedures. The initial data flag is not cause for alarm. Interlaboratory tests conducted at regular intervals permit a lab to recognize trends in testing.



Analysis 3101 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

			Sample CP41			<u>Sample CP4</u>	2	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mea	Diff from ⁿ Grand Mea	n CPV	Instr Code
2QYQQW	*	3.837	-0.168	-2.56	3.83	2 -0.169	-2.53	LW
2TKAVZ		4.050	0.045	0.69	3.994	4 -0.007	-0.11	ТА
3NP3PW		4.019	0.014	0.22	4.00	6 0.005	0.07	PP
3QUVHA		4.008	0.003	0.05	3.99	5 -0.006	-0.10	ТА
4JNDLU		4.032	0.027	0.42	4.01	1 0.010	0.14	EM
4V2G78		4.028	0.023	0.35	4.00	6 0.005	0.07	PP
7J3MNU	X	5.002	0.997	15.19	4.22	2 0.221	3.30	LB
877ZU4	X	0.789	-3.215	-48.99	0.792	2 -3.210	-47.98	LW
882JW6		3.946	-0.059	-0.90	3.96	2 -0.039	-0.59	TA
8LJ9V8		4.099	0.094	1.44	4.08	9 0.088	1.31	EM
8WJYEU		3.925	-0.080	-1.22	3.93	4 -0.067	-1.01	EM
93RK3R		3.947	-0.058	-0.88	4.00	6 0.005	0.07	ТМ
CEQMTP		3.988	-0.017	-0.26	3.96	6 -0.036	-0.53	LW
DFX6PZ		4.062	0.057	0.87	4.02	8 0.027	0.40	EM
E9TMUK		4.009	0.004	0.06	4.01	2 0.011	0.16	LW
EELPVY	X	3.669	-0.336	-5.12	3.73	1 -0.270	-4.04	TA
EZ32NM		3.968	-0.037	-0.56	4.02	1 0.020	0.29	EM
GTBX9F		4.148	0.143	2.18	4.13	0.129	1.92	ТМ
HVC2YG		3.993	-0.012	-0.18	3.99	7 -0.004	-0.07	LA
JBM6VV		4.060	0.055	0.85	4.07	0.069	1.03	ТМ
KFC4MV		4.097	0.092	1.41	4.110	0.109	1.62	PP
KWYJQR		3.902	-0.103	-1.57	3.884	4 -0.117	-1.75	ТА
LWVENN		3.862	-0.143	-2.17	3.83	1 -0.171	-2.55	MS
MFMKWE		4.028	0.023	0.35	4.02	8 0.026	0.39	PP
P3NNMC		3.950	-0.055	-0.83	3.92	0 -0.081	-1.22	EM
PH3TWQ		4.041	0.036	0.55	4.08	5 0.083	1.24	TA
QFWTBJ		4.067	0.062	0.95	4.034	4 0.033	0.49	EM
QGMW4Q		4.013	0.008	0.12	4.00	5 0.004	0.06	MS
QKNX6N		3.970	-0.035	-0.53	4.01	5 0.014	0.20	LW
QR9ZCQ		4.012	0.007	0.11	3.99	1 -0.010	-0.15	LW
VXY2J7		4.024	0.019	0.29	4.059	9 0.058	0.86	ТМ
WUXDF4		4.020	0.015	0.23	4.02	0.019	0.28	PP
WZK7PH		4.034	0.029	0.45	4.022	2 0.021	0.31	LW
XHYYTC		4.001	-0.004	-0.06	4.003	3 0.002	0.02	ТМ
YTBLG4		4.061	0.056	0.86	4.004	4 0.003	0.04	PP
ZDFNTD		4.001	-0.004	-0.06	3.984	4 -0.017	-0.26	LB
ZGEYND		3.916	-0.089	-1.35	3.92	3 -0.078	-1.17	LW
ZQZ7M3		4.050	0.045	0.69	4.07	2 0.071	1.06	EM



Analysis 3101 Thickness (Caliper), Printing papers TAPPI Official Test Method T411

Summary Statistics	Sample CP41	Sample CP42
Grand Means	4.00 mils	4.00 mils
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.07 mils	0.07 mils
		Statistics based on 35 of 38 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #3101

877ZU4 (X) - Extreme Data.

EELPVY (X) - Data for both samples are low. Possible Systematic Error.

7J3MNU (X) - Extreme Data for Sample CP41. Inconsistent within the determinations of both samples.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants							
EM	Emveco	LA	L & W Autoline					
LB	L & W Autoline 600	LW	L&W					
MS	Messmer	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus					
TA	Thwing-Albert	ТМ	TMI					







Analysis 3111 Bursting Strength - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T403

			<u>Sample BP41</u>			<u>Sample BP42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2DCLFE		22.30	-0.44	-0.28	22.00	-0.47	-0.32	ZZ
3NP3PW		19.29	-3.45	-2.20	19.34	-3.13	-2.13	ZZ
4V2G78		23.54	0.80	0.51	24.32	1.85	1.26	ZZ
7J3MNU		22.80	0.06	0.04	22.86	0.39	0.27	ZZ
877ZU4		21.38	-1.36	-0.87	21.19	-1.28	-0.87	ZZ
882JW6	*	25.30	2.56	1.63	22.50	0.03	0.02	ZZ
8WJYEU		22.94	0.20	0.13	23.00	0.53	0.36	ZZ
93RK3R	X	35.30	12.56	8.01	34.00	11.53	7.85	ZZ
A6BY7R		22.33	-0.41	-0.26	22.29	-0.18	-0.12	ZZ
BGKVNZ		23.65	0.91	0.58	22.11	-0.36	-0.24	ZZ
C8Q873		24.18	1.44	0.92	23.78	1.31	0.89	ZZ
CEQMTP		22.86	0.12	0.07	22.84	0.37	0.25	ZZ
DFX6PZ		21.20	-1.54	-0.98	20.80	-1.67	-1.13	ZZ
E9TMUK		22.22	-0.52	-0.33	23.02	0.55	0.37	ZZ
JBM6VV		21.78	-0.96	-0.61	21.49	-0.97	-0.66	ZZ
KWYJQR		22.90	0.16	0.10	22.00	-0.47	-0.32	ZZ
PL36UC		22.20	-0.54	-0.35	22.90	0.43	0.29	ZZ
QFWTBJ		21.32	-1.42	-0.91	20.89	-1.58	-1.07	ZZ
V6ZDF3		23.54	0.80	0.51	22.22	-0.25	-0.17	ZZ
VNDXC7		23.80	1.06	0.68	22.50	0.03	0.02	ZZ
XHYYTC	*	26.66	3.92	2.50	26.76	4.29	2.92	ZZ
ZQZ7M3		21.38	-1.36	-0.87	23.00	0.53	0.36	ZZ
Summary Statistics				Sample BP41		Sample BP42		
Grand Means			22.74 psi		22.47 psi			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				1.57 psi		1.47 psi		
					Statisti	cs based on 21 of	22 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #3111

93RK3R (X) - Extreme Data.

Analysis Notes:

4V2G78 - Data appear to be reported as psi, not kPa as indicated on data entry form. CTS will not correct the Units going forward.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants







Analysis 3113 Tearing Strength - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T414

			<u>Sample RP41</u>			<u>Sample RP</u>	<u>42</u>	
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab M	Diff from Grand Me	an CPV	Instr Code
2DCLFE		75.20	15.39	2.01	73.	.40 13.09	1.63	ZZ
3NP3PW		58.36	-1.45	-0.19	62.	.96 2.65	0.33	ZZ
3QUVHA		53.10	-6.71	-0.87	54.	.70 -5.61	-0.70	ZZ
4GJTWA		61.35	1.53	0.20	62.	.41 2.10	0.26	ZZ
4JNDLU		52.42	-7.39	-0.96	52.	.54 -7.77	-0.97	ZZ
4V2G78		62.70	2.89	0.38	57.	.86 -2.45	-0.31	ZZ
7J3MNU	*	76.27	16.46	2.14	81.	.25 20.94	2.62	ZZ
877ZU4		61.43	1.62	0.21	59.	.09 -1.22	-0.15	ZZ
882JW6		52.00	-7.81	-1.02	53.	.60 -6.71	-0.84	ZZ
8LJ9V8		52.52	-7.29	-0.95	55.	.84 -4.47	-0.56	ZZ
8WJYEU		52.14	-7.67	-1.00	50.	.91 -9.40	-1.17	ZZ
ABYGK3		59.28	-0.53	-0.07	59.	.36 -0.95	-0.12	ZZ
C8Q873		65.22	5.41	0.70	69.	.34 9.03	1.13	ZZ
CEQMTP		57.98	-1.84	-0.24	59.	.03 -1.28	-0.16	ZZ
DFX6PZ		60.86	1.05	0.14	61.	.83 1.52	0.19	ZZ
E9TMUK		59.10	-0.71	-0.09	58.	.10 -2.20	-0.28	ZZ
EELPVY	*	49.12	-10.69	-1.39	55.	.68 -4.63	-0.58	ZZ
EZ32NM		67.56	7.74	1.01	66.	.97 6.66	0.83	ZZ
HVC2YG		63.00	3.19	0.42	64.	.36 4.05	0.51	ZZ
JBM6VV		60.51	0.69	0.09	59.	-0.76	-0.10	ZZ
K7P6WQ		63.56	3.75	0.49	62.	.18 1.87	0.23	ZZ
KFC4MV		65.30	5.49	0.72	65.	.10 4.79	0.60	ZZ
KWYJQR		55.50	-4.31	-0.56	56.	.90 -3.41	-0.43	ZZ
LYJQPF		52.03	-7.79	-1.01	52.	.77 -7.54	-0.94	ZZ
MFMKWE		58.61	-1.20	-0.16	57.	.57 -2.73	-0.34	ZZ
QFWTBJ		65.64	5.83	0.76	67.	.32 7.01	0.88	ZZ
QKNX6N		50.68	-9.13	-1.19	47.	.49 -12.82	-1.60	ZZ
QR9ZCQ		62.39	2.57	0.34	63.	.73 3.42	0.43	ZZ
TDJ3YK		51.07	-8.74	-1.14	52.	.04 -8.27	-1.03	ZZ
V6ZDF3		64.94	5.13	0.67	65.	.68 5.37	0.67	ZZ
WUXDF4		63.21	3.40	0.44	57.	.88 -2.43	-0.30	ZZ
WZK7PH		55.46	-4.35	-0.57	56.	.36 -3.95	-0.49	ZZ
XHYYTC		53.29	-6.52	-0.85	54.	.10 -6.21	-0.78	ZZ
YJLXL2		55.98	-3.83	-0.50	56.	.12 -4.19	-0.52	ZZ
ZL7AXC		52.26	-7.55	-0.98	51.	.83 -8.48	-1.06	ZZ
ZQZ7M3	*	83.25	23.44	3.05	85.	.25 24.94	3.11	ZZ



Analysis 3113 Tearing Strength - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T414

Summary Statistics	Sample RP41	Sample RP42
Grand Means	59.81 Grams	60.31 Grams
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	7.67 Grams	8.01 Grams
		Statistics based on 36 of 36 reporting participants.

Analysis Notes:

8WJYEU - Data appear to be reported as gf, not mN as indicated on data entry form. CTS will not correct the Units going forward.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants







Analysis 3115 Tensile Breaking Strength - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T494

			Sample NP41	-		<u>Sample NP42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3NP3PW		3.746	0.081	0.27	3.635	-0.011	-0.04	TF
3QUVHA		3.710	0.045	0.15	3.612	-0.034	-0.11	LB
4JNDLU		3.834	0.169	0.57	3.478	-0.168	-0.57	TF
4V2G78		4.132	0.467	1.58	4.007	0.361	1.22	TQ
877ZU4		3.619	-0.046	-0.16	3.643	-0.003	-0.01	LE
8LJ9V8		3.452	-0.213	-0.72	3.640	-0.006	-0.02	TF
9JCB2Q		3.664	-0.002	-0.01	3.727	0.082	0.27	TV
ABYGK3		3.201	-0.464	-1.58	3.278	-0.368	-1.24	LE
C8Q873		3.364	-0.301	-1.02	3.379	-0.266	-0.90	10
CEQMTP		3.543	-0.122	-0.41	3.666	0.020	0.07	TR
DFX6PZ		3.371	-0.294	-1.00	3.497	-0.149	-0.50	LE
E9TMUK		3.490	-0.175	-0.59	3.242	-0.404	-1.36	LI
EELPVY	*	4.474	0.809	2.75	4.627	0.981	3.31	VM
EZ32NM		3.928	0.263	0.89	4.064	0.419	1.41	XX
HVC2YG		3.635	-0.030	-0.10	4.015	0.370	1.25	LB
JBM6VV		3.532	-0.133	-0.45	3.725	0.080	0.27	LI
KFC4MV		3.427	-0.238	-0.81	3.287	-0.358	-1.21	ΤQ
KWYJQR		3.377	-0.288	-0.98	3.604	-0.042	-0.14	ΤQ
LYJQPF		3.460	-0.205	-0.70	3.620	-0.026	-0.09	LH
MFMKWE		3.334	-0.331	-1.12	3.193	-0.453	-1.53	TO
QFWTBJ		3.397	-0.268	-0.91	3.465	-0.181	-0.61	ТВ
QGMW4Q		3.511	-0.154	-0.52	3.540	-0.106	-0.36	LF
QKNX6N		4.004	0.339	1.15	4.028	0.382	1.29	LX
TDJ3YK		3.955	0.290	0.98	3.923	0.277	0.93	LJ
UUHCHH		3.257	-0.408	-1.38	3.375	-0.271	-0.91	IM
VXY2J7		3.492	-0.173	-0.59	3.468	-0.178	-0.60	LY
WUXDF4		4.070	0.405	1.37	3.660	0.014	0.05	LA
WZK7PH		3.800	0.135	0.46	3.477	-0.169	-0.57	LI
XHYYTC		3.792	0.127	0.43	3.414	-0.232	-0.78	IN
ZDFNTD		4.164	0.499	1.69	3.851	0.205	0.69	LC
ZGEYND		3.558	-0.107	-0.36	3.432	-0.213	-0.72	LI
ZL7AXC		3.841	0.176	0.60	3.859	0.214	0.72	LI
ZQZ7M3		3.812	0.147	0.50	3.879	0.233	0.78	TO
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample NP41	JP41 Sample NP42			
Gran	nd Mea	ins		3.67 kN/m		3.65 kN/m		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.29 kN/m		0.30 kN/m		
				-	Statistic	cs based on 33 of	33 reporting p	articipants.



Analysis 3115 Tensile Breaking Strength - Printing Papers

TAPPI Official Test Method T494

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

IN

IM	Instron 5500 Series
IO	Instron 5900 Series

- IO Instron 5900 Series
- LB L & W Tensile Autoline 400
- LE L & W Tensile Tester 066
- LH L & W Alwetron TH1 (Horizontal) SE 060/065F
- LJ L & W Tensile Tester SE 063
- LY Lloyd TCD500
- TF Thwing-Albert EJA Vantage-1
- TQ Thwing-Albert QC 3A
- TV Thwing-Albert Vantage NX
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab

LA L & W Tensile - Autoline 300

Instron 3340 series

- LC L & W Tensile Autoline 600
- LF L & W Tensile/Fracture Toughness Tester SE 064
- LI L & W Tensile Tester SE 062
- **LX** L & W (model not specified)
- TB Thwing-Albert EJA/1000
- TO Thwing-Albert QC-1000
- TR Testometric 220D
- VM Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)







Analysis 3116 Tensile Energy Absorption - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T494

			Sample NP4	1		<u>Sample NP42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3NP3PW		48.12	5.37	0.90	44.80	3.37	0.56	TF
3QUVHA		35.60	-7.15	-1.20	35.34	-6.09	-1.02	LB
4V2G78		48.10	5.35	0.89	46.66	5.23	0.88	ΤQ
877ZU4		41.84	-0.91	-0.15	43.46	2.03	0.34	LE
9JCB2Q		48.58	5.83	0.97	48.51	7.08	1.18	TV
C8Q873		42.74	-0.01	0.00	41.88	0.46	0.08	10
DFX6PZ		35.55	-7.20	-1.20	36.56	-4.87	-0.81	LH
E9TMUK		38.61	-4.15	-0.69	34.31	-7.12	-1.19	LI
EZ32NM		42.38	-0.37	-0.06	42.53	1.10	0.18	XX
HVC2YG		43.61	0.85	0.14	47.72	6.30	1.05	LB
JBM6VV		43.25	0.50	0.08	43.84	2.41	0.40	LI
KFC4MV		49.44	6.69	1.12	48.19	6.76	1.13	TQ
KWYJQR		38.66	-4.09	-0.68	40.47	-0.96	-0.16	TQ
LYJQPF		40.72	-2.03	-0.34	41.98	0.55	0.09	LH
MFMKWE		45.10	2.34	0.39	37.65	-3.77	-0.63	TO
QFWTBJ		44.31	1.56	0.26	43.78	2.36	0.39	ТВ
QGMW4Q		37.16	-5.59	-0.93	39.24	-2.19	-0.37	LF
QKNX6N		48.52	5.77	0.97	44.69	3.26	0.55	LX
TDJ3YK		42.24	-0.51	-0.09	39.77	-1.65	-0.28	LJ
VXY2J7	*	61.30	18.54	3.10	60.64	19.22	3.21	LY
WUXDF4		45.50	2.75	0.46	39.40	-2.03	-0.34	LA
WZK7PH		39.11	-3.64	-0.61	36.25	-5.17	-0.87	LI
XHYYTC		38.97	-3.78	-0.63	33.93	-7.50	-1.25	IN
ZDFNTD		47.11	4.35	0.73	41.81	0.39	0.06	LC
ZGEYND		40.99	-1.76	-0.29	35.30	-6.12	-1.02	LI
ZL7AXC		32.35	-10.40	-1.74	32.30	-9.13	-1.53	LI
ZQZ7M3		34.43	-8.32	-1.39	37.50	-3.93	-0.66	то
Summa	Summary Statistics Sample NP41 Sample NP42							
Gran	d Mea	ins		42.75 Joules/sq m	sq m 41.43 Joules/sq m			
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		5.98 Joules/sq m	sq m 5.98 Joules/sq m			
					Statisti	cs based on 27 of	27 reporting p	articipants.

Analysis Notes:

4V2G78 - Data appear to be reported as ft-lb/sq ft, not J/sq m as indicated on data entry form. CTS will not correct the Units going forward.



Analysis 3116 Tensile Energy Absorption - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T494

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

Ю

IN	Instron 3340 series	

- LA L & W Tensile Autoline 300
- LC L & W Tensile Autoline 600
- LF L & W Tensile/Fracture Toughness Tester SE 064
- LI L & W Tensile Tester SE 062
- LX L & W (model not specified)
- TB Thwing-Albert EJA/1000
- TO Thwing-Albert QC-1000
- TV Thwing-Albert Vantage NX

- Instron 5900 Series
- LB L & W Tensile Autoline 400
- LE L & W Tensile Tester 066
- LH L & W Alwetron TH1 (Horizontal) SE 060/065F
- L & W Tensile Tester SE 063
- LY Lloyd TCD500
- TF Thwing-Albert EJA Vantage-1
- TQ Thwing-Albert QC 3A
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab







Analysis 3117 Elongation to Break - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T494

			<u>Sample NP41</u>			<u>Sample NP42</u>			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
3NP3PW		2.141	0.401	1.61	2.089	0.376	1.49	TF	
3QUVHA		1.456	-0.283	-1.13	1.496	-0.217	-0.86	LB	
4JNDLU		1.672	-0.067	-0.27	1.565	-0.148	-0.59	TF	
4V2G78		1.947	0.208	0.83	1.796	0.083	0.33	ΤQ	
877ZU4		1.717	-0.022	-0.09	1.788	0.075	0.30	LE	
8LJ9V8		1.503	-0.236	-0.95	1.633	-0.080	-0.32	TF	
9JCB2Q		2.163	0.424	1.70	2.123	0.410	1.62	TV	
C8Q873		1.848	0.109	0.44	1.796	0.083	0.33	10	
CEQMTP		1.625	-0.114	-0.46	1.692	-0.021	-0.08	TR	
DFX6PZ		1.534	-0.205	-0.82	1.530	-0.183	-0.72	LH	
E9TMUK		1.618	-0.121	-0.49	1.565	-0.148	-0.59	LI	
EELPVY		1.410	-0.329	-1.32	1.370	-0.343	-1.36	VM	
EZ32NM		1.666	-0.073	-0.29	1.564	-0.149	-0.59	XX	
HVC2YG		1.657	-0.082	-0.33	1.692	-0.021	-0.08	LB	
JBM6VV		1.778	0.039	0.15	1.723	0.010	0.04	LI	
KFC4MV		2.178	0.439	1.76	2.192	0.479	1.89	TQ	
KWYJQR		1.731	-0.008	-0.03	1.704	-0.009	-0.04	TQ	
LYJQPF		1.700	-0.039	-0.16	1.740	0.027	0.11	LH	
MFMKWE		2.014	0.275	1.10	1.977	0.264	1.04	Т0	
QFWTBJ		1.932	0.193	0.77	1.884	0.171	0.67	ТВ	
QGMW4Q		1.584	-0.155	-0.62	1.654	-0.059	-0.23	LF	
QKNX6N		2.070	0.331	1.32	1.928	0.215	0.85	LX	
TDJ3YK		1.573	-0.166	-0.67	1.505	-0.208	-0.82	LJ	
UUHCHH	X	1.780	0.041	0.16	2.170	0.457	1.81	IM	
VXY2J7		1.650	-0.090	-0.36	1.572	-0.141	-0.56	LY	
WUXDF4		1.530	-0.209	-0.84	1.480	-0.233	-0.92	LA	
WZK7PH		1.548	-0.191	-0.77	1.562	-0.151	-0.60	LI	
XHYYTC		1.690	-0.049	-0.20	1.649	-0.064	-0.25	IN	
ZDFNTD		1.600	-0.139	-0.56	1.534	-0.179	-0.71	LC	
ZGEYND		1.710	-0.029	-0.12	1.541	-0.172	-0.68	LI	
ZL7AXC		1.317	-0.422	-1.69	1.301	-0.412	-1.63	LI	
ZQZ7M3	*	2.361	0.622	2.49	2.465	0.752	2.97	ТО	
Summary Statistics				Sample NP41		Sample NP42)		
Grand Means				1.74 Percent		1.71 Percent			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				0.25 Percent		0.25 Percent			
					Statisti	cs based on 31 of	32 reporting p	articipants.	



Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Report #4361, May Analysis 3117 2025 Elongation to Break - Printing Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T494

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #3117

UUHCHH (X) - Inconsistent in testing between samples. Inconsistent within the determinations of sample NP42.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants								
IM	Instron 5500 Series	IN	Instron 3340 Series						
O	Instron 5900 Series	LA	L & W Tensile - Autoline 300						
B	L & W Tensile - Autoline 400	LC	L & W Tensile - Autoline 600						
.E	L & W Tensile Tester 066	LF	L & W Tensile/Fracture Toughness Tester SE 064						
H	L & W Alwetron TH1 (Horizontal) SE 060/065F	LI	L & W Tensile Tester SE 062						
J	L & W Tensile Tester SE 063	LX	L & W (model not specified)						
Y.	Lloyd TCD500	ТВ	Thwing-Albert EJA/1000						
ΓF	Thwing-Albert EJA Vantage-1	то	Thwing-Albert QC-1000						
ſQ	Thwing-Albert QC 3A	TR	Testometric 220D						
ΓV	Thwing-Albert Vantage NX	VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani/Robotest)						
x	Instrument make/model not specified by lab								







Analysis 3121 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

Sample PP41					Sample PP42				
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code	
28JGPV		10.390	0.618	0.93	9.900	0.275	0.46	GL	
2DCLFE		10.500	0.728	1.10	9.800	0.175	0.29	GS	
3NP3PW		9.718	-0.054	-0.08	8.979	-0.646	-1.09	PP	
4JNDLU		9.867	0.095	0.14	9.897	0.272	0.46	PP	
4V2G78	X	21.176	11.404	17.23	19.141	9.516	15.98	РР	
882JW6		10.580	0.808	1.22	9.680	0.055	0.09	PP	
8LJ9V8		10.154	0.382	0.58	9.942	0.317	0.53	PP	
9JCB2Q		10.091	0.319	0.48	10.053	0.428	0.72	PP	
A6BY7R		9.950	0.178	0.27	10.000	0.375	0.63	LA	
BGKVNZ		9.330	-0.442	-0.67	8.980	-0.645	-1.08	GG	
C8Q873		9.920	0.148	0.22	9.680	0.055	0.09	WG	
E9TMUK		10.050	0.278	0.42	9.770	0.145	0.24	LP	
EELPVY		9.787	0.015	0.02	9.472	-0.153	-0.26	PP	
EZ32NM		9.561	-0.211	-0.32	9.629	0.004	0.01	PP	
GTBX9F		9.300	-0.472	-0.71	9.280	-0.345	-0.58	HG	
GVWHEJ		9.462	-0.310	-0.47	9.711	0.086	0.14	LR	
H87FWR		9.460	-0.312	-0.47	9.730	0.105	0.18	PP	
KFC4MV		9.969	0.197	0.30	9.429	-0.196	-0.33	PP	
KWYJQR		9.880	0.108	0.16	9.940	0.315	0.53	GA	
LYJQPF		9.030	-0.742	-1.12	9.360	-0.265	-0.45	LP	
M4RCCR		9.717	-0.055	-0.08	9.571	-0.054	-0.09	LP	
MFMKWE	*	11.611	1.839	2.78	11.550	1.925	3.23	PP	
NRWHEN		8.310	-1.462	-2.21	8.880	-0.745	-1.25	GA	
P3NNMC	*	8.041	-1.731	-2.62	7.979	-1.646	-2.77	WG	
PL36UC		10.058	0.286	0.43	9.699	0.074	0.12	LR	
QFWTBJ		10.196	0.424	0.64	10.381	0.756	1.27	PP	
RMPKWH		9.270	-0.502	-0.76	9.060	-0.565	-0.95	GL	
V6ZDF3		9.582	-0.190	-0.29	9.765	0.140	0.23	PP	
XCBGCF		10.260	0.488	0.74	10.010	0.385	0.65	TL	
XHYYTC		9.337	-0.435	-0.66	9.639	0.014	0.02	PP	
ZQZ7M3		9.793	0.021	0.03	8.987	-0.638	-1.07	PP	
Summa	ry Stat	tistics		Sample PP41		Sample PP42	-		
Gran	d Mec	ins		9.77 sec/100 cc		9.63 sec/100 c	c		
Stnd	Dev B	stwn Labs		0.66 sec/100 cc		0.60 sec/100 cc			
					Statisti	cs based on 30 of	31 reporting p	articipants.	

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #3121

4V2G78 (X) - Extreme Data.



Analysis 3121 Air Resistance - Gurley Oil Type TAPPI Official Test Method T460

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GG	Gurley Precision Model #4320
GL	Gurley #4110	GS	Gurley-Hill S-P-S Tester #4190
HG	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #1	LA	L & W Autoline
LP	L & W Densometer, Air Permeance	LR	L & W Air Permeance

- **PP** Technidyne Profile/Plus
- **WG** W & LE Gurley Tester

TL Gurley Densometer #4110, Oil Flotation







Porosity - Sheffield Type - Sheffield Units for 3/4 inch Diameter Orifice TAPPI Official Test Method T547

			<u>Sample PP41</u>			<u>Sample PP42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2DCLFE		218.8	-21.7	-0.79	208.2	-29.5	-0.88	SH
3QUVHA		271.4	30.9	1.12	274.5	36.8	1.09	LB
882JW6		231.4	-9.2	-0.33	230.5	-7.2	-0.21	PP
WUXDF4	X	0.4	-240.1	-8.74	0.4	-237.3	-7.03	PP
Summary Statistics				Sample PP41		Sample PP42		
Grand Means		240.52 Sheffield Units		s 23	237.73 Sheffield Units			
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs		27	27.47 Sheffield Units		33.74 Sheffield Units			
					Sta	tistics based on 3 of	4 reporting	participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #3123

WUXDF4 (X) - Extreme Data.

LB L & W Air Permeance - Autoline

PP Technidyne Profile/Plus

SH Sheffield





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3131 Roughness - Print Surf Method - 2.5 to 6.0 Microns TAPPI Official Test Method T555

			Sample PH41			<u>Sample PH42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2TKAVZ		4.324	0.200	1.52	4.388	0.249	1.29	ZZ
8PLAV6		4.168	0.044	0.33	4.131	-0.008	-0.04	ZZ
C8Q873		3.979	-0.145	-1.10	3.920	-0.219	-1.14	ZZ
DFX6PZ		4.168	0.044	0.33	4.264	0.125	0.65	ZZ
H87FWR		4.045	-0.079	-0.60	4.101	-0.039	-0.20	ZZ
QFWTBJ		4.205	0.081	0.61	4.246	0.107	0.55	ZZ
QR9ZCQ		4.207	0.083	0.63	4.281	0.142	0.73	ZZ
V6ZDF3		4.231	0.107	0.81	4.307	0.167	0.87	ZZ
ZDFNTD		4.006	-0.118	-0.90	3.955	-0.184	-0.96	ZZ
ZGEYND		3.908	-0.216	-1.64	3.802	-0.338	-1.75	ZZ
Summe	ary Stat	tistics		Sample PH41		Sample PH42	2	
Grai	nd Mec	ins		4.12 Microns		4.14 Microns		
Stnd	l Dev B	twn Labs		0.13 Microns		0.19 Microns		
					Statist	ics based on 10 of	10 reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3133 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

			<u>Sample SR41</u>			<u>Sample SR42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2DCLFE		177.8	-15.3	-1.04	173.2	-19.4	-1.24	XX
2N626F	*	225.0	31.9	2.17	234.8	42.1	2.68	VM
3NP3PW		191.4	-1.7	-0.11	198.5	5.9	0.38	PP
3QUVHA		173.0	-20.1	-1.36	174.4	-18.2	-1.16	LA
4JNDLU		197.5	4.4	0.30	185.2	-7.4	-0.47	SH
882JW6		185.7	-7.4	-0.50	187.1	-5.6	-0.35	PP
8LJ9V8		192.2	-0.9	-0.06	196.5	3.9	0.25	SH
9JCB2Q		192.1	-1.0	-0.07	181.9	-10.7	-0.68	PP
A6BY7R		187.8	-5.3	-0.36	179.7	-12.9	-0.82	LA
AXCCC7	X	250.5	57.4	3.90	264.5	71.9	4.58	GL
C8Q873		167.5	-25.6	-1.74	160.1	-32.5	-2.07	PG
DFX6PZ		198.2	5.2	0.35	187.5	-5.1	-0.32	PP
EELPVY		200.0	6.9	0.47	197.0	4.4	0.28	PP
EZ32NM	X	156.0	-37.1	-2.52	76.0	-116.6	-7.42	HM
GTBX9F		199.1	6.0	0.41	206.6	14.0	0.89	PP
GTTPHZ		184.5	-8.6	-0.58	196.5	3.9	0.25	GA
H3F7NF		202.0	8.9	0.61	196.9	4.3	0.27	LA
H87FWR		226.8	33.7	2.29	214.6	22.0	1.40	PP
K7P6WQ		198.8	5.7	0.39	194.3	1.7	0.11	HM
KFC4MV		187.1	-5.9	-0.40	180.9	-11.7	-0.75	PP
KWYJQR		181.1	-11.9	-0.81	179.8	-12.8	-0.81	GA
MFMKWE		195.1	2.1	0.14	195.8	3.2	0.20	PP
NJJQNQ		204.3	11.3	0.76	207.0	14.3	0.91	PP
P3NNMC		228.5	35.4	2.41	224.5	31.9	2.03	SS
PL36UC		184.2	-8.8	-0.60	174.2	-18.4	-1.17	LW
QFWTBJ		184.9	-8.2	-0.56	203.7	11.1	0.70	PP
QKNX6N		184.8	-8.3	-0.56	174.5	-18.1	-1.15	PP
R736TL		181.4	-11.6	-0.79	179.8	-12.8	-0.81	PP
RXU9BB		200.3	7.2	0.49	198.6	6.0	0.38	LW
TPARW7		176.1	-17.0	-1.15	180.7	-11.9	-0.76	LW
V6ZDF3		192.6	-0.5	-0.03	191.5	-1.1	-0.07	LW
WQCTAZ		200.8	7.7	0.53	200.2	7.6	0.48	PP
X68AXD		160.9	-32.2	-2.18	171.2	-21.4	-1.36	LA
XHYYTC		206.6	13.5	0.92	210.7	18.1	1.15	PP
YVDLFF		196.1	3.0	0.20	202.3	9.6	0.61	PP
ZDFNTD		184.4	-8.7	-0.59	194.9	2.3	0.15	LB
ZGEYND		199.3	6.2	0.42	189.3	-3.3	-0.21	LW
ZQZ7M3		202.5	9.5	0.64	209.9	17.3	1.10	PP



Analysis 3133 Roughness - Sheffield Type TAPPI Official Test Method T538

Summary Statistics	Sample SR41	Sample SR42
Grand Means	193.07 Sheffield	192.62 Sheffield
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	14.73 Sheffield	15.71 Sheffield
		Statistics based on 36 of 38 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #3133

AXCCC7 (X) - Data for both samples are high. Possible Systematic Error.

EZ32NM (X) - Extreme Data for sample SR42.

Analysis Notes:

PL36UC - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample SR41 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
GA	Gurley Precision #4340 Automatic Densometer	GL	Giddings and Lewis Sheffield							
ΗМ	Technidyne - Hagerty Model #538	LA	L & W Roughness Sheffield - Autoline							
LB	L & W - Autoline 600	LW	L & W Roughness Tester							
PG	Precision Gage Smoothcheck	PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus							
SH	Sheffield (Bendix Precisionaire)	SS	Sheffield Smoothchek Tester							
VM	Valmet PaperLab (was Kajaani\Robotest)	XX	Instrument make/model not specified by lab							







Analysis 3135 Grammage (Mass per Unit Area) TAPPI Official Test Method T410

			<u>Sample GM41</u>			Sample GM42			
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mec	Diff from In Grand Me	an CPV	Instr Code	
3QUVHA		90.21	0.46	0.59	103.	6 -0.1	-0.14	ZZ	
4JNDLU		88.58	-1.17	-1.48	102.	6 -1.1	-1.55	ZZ	
68P6EC	X	18.42	-71.33	-90.70	21.	3 -82.4	-117.34	ZZ	
7J3MNU		89.25	-0.50	-0.63	103.	3 -0.4	-0.60	ZZ	
882JW6		89.30	-0.45	-0.57	103.	4 -0.3	-0.44	ZZ	
8LJ9V8	*	91.92	2.17	2.76	104.	1 0.4	0.64	ZZ	
8WJYEU		88.62	-1.13	-1.44	102.	8 -0.9	-1.31	ZZ	
B8AU3Z		89.88	0.13	0.17	104.	5 0.9	1.24	ZZ	
CEQMTP		89.73	-0.02	-0.02	103.	7 0.0	-0.01	ZZ	
CNBVFX		89.85	0.10	0.13	103.	8 0.1	0.20	ZZ	
JT3DWW		90.81	1.07	1.36	105.	5 1.8	2.56	ZZ	
KWYJQR		88.93	-0.82	-1.04	103.	3 -0.3	-0.48	ZZ	
LWVENN		89.40	-0.35	-0.44	103.	1 -0.6	-0.81	ZZ	
LYJQPF		89.55	-0.20	-0.25	103.	3 -0.3	-0.47	ZZ	
QGMW4Q		89.88	0.14	0.18	104.	1 0.5	0.68	ZZ	
QR9ZCQ		89.63	-0.11	-0.14	104.	2 0.5	0.78	ZZ	
UMJQT3		89.31	-0.43	-0.55	103.	0 -0.7	-1.00	ZZ	
UUHCHH		90.51	0.76	0.97	104.	1 0.4	0.54	ZZ	
VXY2J7		89.55	-0.20	-0.25	103.	2 -0.5	-0.70	ZZ	
ХНҮҮТС		90.27	0.53	0.67	104.	3 0.6	0.88	ZZ	

Summary Statistics	Sample GM41	Sample GM42
Grand Means	89.75 g/sq m	103.67 g/sq m
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.79 g/sq m	0.70 g/sq m
		Statistics based on 19 of 20 reporting participants.

Comments on Assigned Data Flags for Test #3135

68P6EC (X) - Extreme Data.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3141 Opacity (89% Reflectance Backing) - Fine Papers TAPPI Official Test Method T425

			Sample VR41			<u>Sample VR42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2DCLFE		90.00	0.09	0.31	90.00	0.04	0.14	ZZ
3NP3PW		90.15	0.24	0.80	90.12	0.16	0.58	ZZ
3QUVHA		89.95	0.04	0.14	90.41	0.45	1.59	ZZ
4JNDLU		90.14	0.23	0.78	89.91	-0.05	-0.17	ZZ
882JW6		89.40	-0.51	-1.69	90.14	0.18	0.64	ZZ
8LJ9V8		89.50	-0.41	-1.35	89.51	-0.45	-1.59	ZZ
8WJYEU		90.07	0.17	0.55	90.22	0.26	0.92	ZZ
DFX6PZ		89.84	-0.06	-0.21	89.93	-0.03	-0.11	ZZ
HVC2YG		89.41	-0.49	-1.64	90.56	0.60	2.14	ZZ
JT3DWW		89.47	-0.44	-1.45	89.78	-0.18	-0.64	ZZ
K7P6WQ		90.23	0.32	1.07	89.77	-0.19	-0.67	ZZ
KWYJQR		90.01	0.10	0.34	89.96	0.00	0.00	ZZ
MFMKWE		90.39	0.48	1.61	89.43	-0.53	-1.87	ZZ
P3NNMC		90.27	0.36	1.21	90.27	0.31	1.10	ZZ
QFWTBJ		89.85	-0.06	-0.19	89.96	0.00	0.01	ZZ
WUXDF4		89.78	-0.13	-0.42	89.85	-0.11	-0.39	ZZ
XHYYTC		90.20	0.29	0.97	89.85	-0.11	-0.39	ZZ
ZL7AXC		89.78	-0.13	-0.42	89.81	-0.15	-0.54	ZZ
ZQZ7M3		89.78	-0.12	-0.41	89.74	-0.22	-0.77	ZZ
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample VR41		Sample VR42		
Grand Means		89.91 Percent		89.96 Percent				
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.30 Percent		0.28 Percent		
					Statisti	ics based on 19 of	19 reporting	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3143 Opacity (Paper Backing) - Fine Papers and Newsprint TAPPI Official Test Method T519

			<u>Sample VP41</u>			<u>Sample VP42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
E9TMUK		92.60	0.11	0.71	92.60	0.08	0.71	ZZ
LYJQPF		92.38	-0.11	-0.71	92.44	-0.08	-0.71	ZZ
Summary Statistics				Sample VP41		Sample VP42		
Gran	nd Mea	ins		92.49 Percent		92.52 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.16 Percent		0.11 Percent		
					Sta	tistics based on 2 of	2 reporting	participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3145 Directional Brightness of Fluorescent Samples TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			<u>Sample BF41</u>			<u>Sample BF42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
8WJYEU		98.76	1.06	0.66	99.34	1.55	0.98	TE
93RK3R		98.34	0.64	0.40	98.58	0.79	0.50	TT
HVC2YG		98.17	0.47	0.30	98.40	0.61	0.38	TE
JT3DWW		97.85	0.15	0.09	97.83	0.04	0.03	TS
KWYJQR		98.94	1.24	0.78	99.18	1.39	0.88	TD
MFMKWE		94.51	-3.19	-2.00	94.70	-3.09	-1.96	TE
QFWTBJ		98.92	1.22	0.77	98.75	0.96	0.61	TD
QKNX6N		95.27	-2.43	-1.52	95.24	-2.55	-1.61	TS
WUXDF4		99.02	1.32	0.83	98.18	0.39	0.25	TD
ZL7AXC		97.21	-0.49	-0.31	97.70	-0.09	-0.06	PP
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample BF41		Sample BF42		
Gran	d Mea	ns		97.70 Percent		97.79 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		1.59 Percent		1.58 Percent		
					Statist	ics based on 10 of	10 reporting	g participants.

	Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants									
PP	Technidyne Profile/Plus	TD	Technidyne Color Touch X-45							
ΤE	Technidyne TEST/Plus TAPPI Brightness	TS	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5							
ΤТ	Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S4-M									

Printed: June 16, 2025





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3146 Fluorescent Component of Directional Brightness TAPPI Official Test Method T452

			<u>Sample BF41</u>				<u>Sample BF42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
8WJYEU		7.530	0.079	0.10		7.924	0.710	0.42	TE
93RK3R		7.440	-0.011	-0.01		7.480	0.266	0.16	XX
HVC2YG		7.126	-0.325	-0.39		7.150	-0.064	-0.04	TE
KWYJQR		8.134	0.683	0.82		8.090	0.876	0.52	TD
QFWTBJ		8.196	0.745	0.90		8.248	1.034	0.61	TD
QKNX6N		5.612	-1.839	-2.22		3.110	-4.104	-2.42	TS
WUXDF4		7.600	0.149	0.18		7.720	0.506	0.30	TD
ZL7AXC		7.968	0.517	0.62		7.990	0.776	0.46	PP
Summa	ry Stat	istics		Sample BF41	-		Sample BF42		
Grar	nd Mea	ns		7.45 Percent			7.21 Percent		
Stnd	Dev B	twn Labs		0.83 Percent			1.70 Percent		
						Stat	tistics based on 8 of	8 reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

PP Technidyne Profile/Plus

- TD Technidyne Color Touch X-45
- TE Technidyne TEST/Plus TAPPI Brightness
- TS Technidyne Brightimeter Micro S-5
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3201 Bending Resistance, Taber Type - 0 to 10 Units TAPPI Official Test Method T566

			<u>Sample TP41</u>			<u>Sample TP42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
2DCLFE		2.100	0.184	1.50	2.120	0.202	1.33	ZZ
877ZU4		1.912	-0.004	-0.04	1.958	0.040	0.26	ZZ
MFMKWE		1.925	0.009	0.07	1.950	0.032	0.21	ZZ
QFWTBJ		1.758	-0.159	-1.30	1.707	-0.211	-1.39	ZZ
ZQZ7M3		1.887	-0.029	-0.24	1.856	-0.062	-0.41	ZZ

Summary Statistics	Sample TP41	Sample TP42
Grand Means	1.92 Taber Units	1.92 Taber Units
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	0.12 Taber Units	0.15 Taber Units
		Statistics based on 5 of 5 reporting participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3203 Bending Resistance, Taber Type - 10 to 100 Taber Units TAPPI Official Test Method T489

			Sample TC4	<u>1</u>			<u>Sample TC42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mear	n CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
4KJWNV		56.00	-0.51	-0.19		41.50	0.22	0.10	ZZ
8PLAV6		56.29	-0.22	-0.08		40.63	-0.65	-0.31	ZZ
C8Q873		57.28	0.77	0.29		43.43	2.15	1.02	ZZ
CEQMTP		53.40	-3.12	-1.17		38.15	-3.13	-1.49	ZZ
H87FWR		54.75	-1.76	-0.66		41.60	0.32	0.15	ZZ
KWYJQR		52.87	-3.64	-1.36		38.47	-2.81	-1.33	ZZ
NJJQNQ		60.52	4.01	1.50		43.20	1.92	0.91	ZZ
YVDLFF		61.20	4.69	1.76		45.16	3.88	1.84	ZZ
ZDFNTD		57.35	0.84	0.31		40.73	-0.55	-0.26	ZZ
ZGEYND		57.58	1.07	0.40		41.53	0.25	0.12	ZZ
ZQZ7M3		54.40	-2.11	-0.79		39.71	-1.57	-0.75	ZZ
Summa	iry Stat	istics		Sample TC4	<u>11</u>		Sample TC42		
Grand Means			56.51 Taber U	Inits	4	1.28 Taber Uni	ts		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			2.67 Taber U	nits	2.11 Taber Units				
						Statisti	cs based on 11 of	11 reportin	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3205

Bending Resistance, Taber Type - 50 to 500 Taber Units - Recycled Paperboard TAPPI Official Test Method T489

			Sample TR4	<u>1</u>			<u>Sample TR42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
AXCCC7		201.4	26.4	2.38		199.2	25.6	2.32	ZZ
C8Q873		177.5	2.5	0.22		175.7	2.2	0.20	ZZ
K7P6WQ		161.0	-14.1	-1.27		160.0	-13.6	-1.23	ZZ
R736TL		173.9	-1.1	-0.10		169.9	-3.7	-0.33	ZZ
RXU9BB		167.5	-7.5	-0.68		165.2	-8.4	-0.76	ZZ
V6ZDF3		170.8	-4.3	-0.38		171.1	-2.5	-0.23	ZZ
WQCTAZ		176.2	1.2	0.11		174.0	0.4	0.04	ZZ
ZDFNTD		173.1	-1.9	-0.17		169.3	-4.3	-0.39	ZZ
ZGEYND		173.9	-1.1	-0.10		177.8	4.2	0.38	ZZ
Summa	iry Stat	tistics		Sample T	<u>R41</u>		Sample TR42		
Grand Means			175.02 Tabe	r Units	173.57 Taber Units				
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			11.08 Taber	Units	11.03 Taber Units				
						Stat	istics based on 9 of	9 reportin	g participants.

Analysis Notes:

AXCCC7 - Data appear to be reported as g-cm, not mN-m as indicated on data entry form. CTS will not correct the Units going forward.

V6ZDF3 - One determination removed from the Lab Mean of Sample TR42 per Grubb's Test at 1% risk (TAPPI 1205).

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3207 Z-Direction Tensile, Recycled Paperboard TAPPI Official Test Method T541

			<u>Sample ZR41</u>			<u>Sample ZR42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	ln: Co
28JGPV		45.34	-4.63	-0.99	44.62	-4.84	-1.03	С
66PUKW		48.42	-1.55	-0.33	47.84	-1.62	-0.35	L
9N9WTT		44.40	-5.57	-1.19	45.81	-3.65	-0.78	L
9UCZG7		51.66	1.69	0.36	48.48	-0.98	-0.21	х
AXCCC7		52.28	2.31	0.49	52.16	2.70	0.58	С
EF8T4W		38.80	-11.17	-2.39	36.90	-12.56	-2.68	L
FXRJ3V		48.20	-1.77	-0.38	49.00	-0.46	-0.10	C
K7P6WQ		47.04	-2.93	-0.63	46.88	-2.58	-0.55	C
NLMJKA		53.88	3.91	0.83	53.58	4.12	0.88	Т
PH3TWQ		52.20	2.23	0.48	53.40	3.94	0.84	D
RXU9BB		51.44	1.47	0.31	52.00	2.54	0.54	т
TPARW7		55.40	5.43	1.16	52.80	3.34	0.71	C
V6ZDF3		53.08	3.11	0.66	53.44	3.98	0.85	C
X68AXD		50.80	0.83	0.18	50.20	0.74	0.16	C
ZGEYND		56.66	6.69	1.43	54.84	5.38	1.15	L

Summary Statistics	Sample ZR41	Sample ZR42	
Grand Means	49.97 psi	49.46 psi	
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs	4.68 psi	4.69 psi	
		Statistics based on 15 of 15 reporting participo	ints.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

CA CSI CS-163

LW

CD CSI CS-163D

CH Chatillon Ametek

L & W ZD Tensile Tester

- DT Dek-Tron DCS-163D ZDT Tester
- **TA** Thwing-Albert Tensile Tester
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Paper & Paperboard Interlaboratory Testing Program Report #4361, May Analysis 3209 Z-Direction Tensile

TAPPI Official Test Method T541

			<u>Sample ZP41</u>			<u>Sample ZP42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
4KJWNV		75.80	-10.67	-0.82	71.40	-7.51	-0.88	ТА
8PLAV6		70.76	-15.71	-1.21	68.64	-10.27	-1.20	CD
NJJQNQ		90.84	4.37	0.34	80.84	1.93	0.23	CD
R736TL		73.64	-12.83	-0.99	72.80	-6.11	-0.71	CD
WQCTAZ		99.12	12.65	0.97	85.66	6.75	0.79	LW
YVDLFF		91.88	5.41	0.42	80.36	1.45	0.17	CD
ZGEYND		103.26	16.79	1.29	92.66	13.75	1.61	LW
Summary Statistics				Sample ZP41		Sample ZP42		
Grand Means				86.47 psi		78.91 psi		
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs				13.01 psi	8.56 psi			
					Stat	istics based on 7 of	7 reporting p	articipants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

CD CSI CS-163D

LW L & W ZD Tensile Tester

TA Thwing-Albert Tensile Tester





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3211 Internal Bond Strength - Modified Scott Mechanics TAPPI Provisional Test Method T569

		Sample SM41							
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
3NP3PW		122.4	-2.8	-0.31		123.2	-1.2	-0.14	HY
4KJWNV		123.6	-1.6	-0.18		127.0	2.6	0.28	HZ
877ZU4		113.6	-11.6	-1.28		112.4	-12.0	-1.33	KR
8WJYEU		118.0	-7.2	-0.79		123.0	-1.4	-0.16	нх
93RK3R		128.4	3.2	0.35		132.0	7.6	0.84	HX
EELPVY		129.6	4.4	0.48		130.0	5.6	0.62	HY
KFC4MV		117.0	-8.2	-0.90		118.2	-6.2	-0.69	HZ
NJJQNQ		138.2	13.0	1.42		136.6	12.2	1.35	HY
V6ZDF3		126.2	1.0	0.11		112.8	-11.6	-1.29	xx
WQCTAZ		112.8	-12.4	-1.36		111.6	-12.8	-1.43	HZ
YVDLFF		132.0	6.8	0.74		131.2	6.8	0.75	HY
ZGEYND		141.0	15.8	1.73		135.2	10.8	1.20	HZ
Summary Statistics				Sample SM4	41		Sample SM42	2	
Grand Means			125.23 1000th ft-lbs		124.44 1000th ft-lbs				
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			9.11 1000th ft-lbs		9.00 1000th ft-lbs				
	Statistics based on 12 of 12 reporting par				g participants.				

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

HX Huygen Internal Scott Bond Tester

HY Huygen Digitized Internal Scott Bond Tester

HZ Huygen Internal Bond Tester with AccuPress

- **KR** Kumagai Riki Kogyo Internal Bond Tester
- XX Instrument make/model not specified by lab





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.



Analysis 3213 Internal Bond Strength - Scott Bond Models TAPPI Provisional Test Method T569

			<u>Sample SB41</u>	_			<u>Sample SB42</u>		
WebCode	Data Flag	Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV		Lab Mean	Diff from Grand Mean	CPV	Instr Code
28JGPV		103.4	-11.4	-0.54		116.6	2.3	0.10	ТМ
A6BY7R		99.0	-15.8	-0.74		102.4	-11.9	-0.55	ТМ
H87FWR		123.9	9.1	0.43		123.3	9.0	0.42	ID
MFMKWE		91.2	-23.6	-1.11		91.8	-22.5	-1.05	SC
PL36UC		107.8	-7.0	-0.33		102.8	-11.5	-0.54	ТМ
QFWTBJ		155.6	40.8	1.92		157.6	43.3	2.01	ТМ
R7ML9P		104.8	-10.0	-0.47		94.8	-19.5	-0.91	ТМ
WUXDF4		132.6	17.8	0.84		125.4	11.1	0.51	ТМ
Summary Statistics				Sample SI	B41		Sample SB42		
Grand Means			114.79 1000th ft-lbs		114.34 1000th ft-lbs				
Stnd Dev Btwn Labs			21.21 1000th ft-lbs		21.53 1000th ft-lbs				
						Stat	istics based on 8 of	8 reporting	g participants.

Key to Instrument Codes Reported by Participants

ID IDM Internal Bond Tester

SC Scott Internal Bond Tester (Manual)

TM TMI Monitor/Internal Bond Tester





If fewer than 20 laboratories are included in an analysis, a control ellipse will not be drawn on the two-sample plot.